

ANNE M. ROGASKI (State Bar No. 184754)
arogaski@kilpatricktownsend.com
KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
1080 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Telephone: 650-326-2400
Facsimile: 650-326-2422

ROBERT D. TADLOCK (State Bar No. 238479)
rtadlock@kilpatricktownsend.com
KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 8th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: 415-576-0200
Facsimile: 415-576-0300

JEFFREY M. CONNOR (*pro hac vice*)
jmconnor@kilpatricktownsend.com
KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP
1400 Wewatta Street, Suite 600
Denver, CO 80202
Telephone: 303-571-4000
Facsimile: 303-571-4321

Atorneys for Defendant YELP INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

EVOLUTIONARY INTELLIGENCE, LLC,

Plaintiff,

V.

YELP INC

Defendant

Civil Action No. C 13-3587 DMR

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT'S ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL
PORTIONS OF DEFENDANT'S REPLY
IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR
STAY PENDING *INTER PARTES*
REVIEW**

1 Now before the Court is Defendant's Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Portions of
 2 Defendant's Reply in Support of Its Motion for Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review ("Defendant's
 3 Administrative Motion"). Upon consideration of Defendant's Administrative Motion and the
 4 supporting declaration of Jeffrey M. Connor filed therewith, the Court finds there to be good cause
 5 for granting Defendant's request to file the below-referenced document under seal.

6 GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the Court finds that:

7 1. Plaintiff possesses an overriding confidentiality interest that overcomes the right of
 8 public access to the record in the following document or portions thereof:

Document	Portion to be Sealed
Defendant's Reply in Support of its Motion for Stay Pending <i>Inter Partes</i> Review	Redacted Portions Thereof

13 14 2. Plaintiff's confidentiality interests support sealing the record;

15 3. A substantial probability exists that Plaintiff's overriding confidentiality interests
 16 will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;

17 4. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and

18 5. No less restrictive means exists to achieve this overriding interest.

19 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's Administrative Motion to File Under
 20 Seal Portions of Defendant's Reply in Support of Its Motion for Stay Pending *Inter Partes* Review
 21 is GRANTED.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 DATED: December 17, 2013

24
 25 Honorable Donna M. Ryu
 26 United States Magistrate Judge
 27
 28